

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/008,456	11/02/2001	Timothy R. Owens	5618P2971	5019
8791	7590 10/19/2005		EXAM	INER
	SOKOLOFF TAYLOR HIRE BOULEVARD	ROY, BAISAKHI		
SEVENTH FLOOR			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
LOS ANGEL	ES, CA 90025-1030		3737	

DATE MAILED: 10/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/008,456	OWENS ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Baisakhi Roy	3737		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ju	<u>uly 2005</u> .	•		
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	·		
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-49 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)[a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No red in this National Stage		
Attachmen	it(e)				
1) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	/ (PTO-413)		
3) 🔲 Infon	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D			

Application/Control Number: 10/008,456 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, with respect to claims 1-7 by Young et al. have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-7 as being anticipated by Young et al. has been withdrawn. However applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 1, 4-10, 13-24, 27-35, and 38-49 as being anticipated by Gillies et al. have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Gillies et al. teach said system being capable of detecting noise (col. 8 lines 16-31, col. 23 lines 28-45) and where a pre-operative scan is conducted of the markers prior to insertion of the device into the anatomy in order to be able to detect the markers (col. 11 lines 5-38). Also, applicant's arguments with respect to the rejection of claims 2, 3, 11, 12, 25, 26, 36, and 37 over Gillies et al. in view of Young et al. have been considered but they are not persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. Claims 1, 4-10, 13-24, 27-35, 38-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gillies et al. (6272370).

Regarding claims 1, 4, 7-9, 13, 16, 19-22, 23, 27, 33, 34, 38, and 44, Gillies et al. teach an apparatus and method of inserting a medical device such as a catheter with a plurality of target markers into an anatomy, scanning a MRI image of the anatomy with said MRI processor having the ability to detect low-level signals, processing the scanned image, determining a location and orientation of the medical device in relation to the anatomy, and displaying a precise image of the device within the anatomy where

Art Unit: 3737

the device is not depicted as noise for MRI systems (col. 6 lines 27-49, col. 8 lines 16-31, col. 11 lines 5-13, col. 14 lines 41-60, col. 27 lines 7-40).

Regarding claims 10, 24, 32, 35 and 43, Gillies et al. teach said method and apparatus pre-scanning the medical device before inserting in an anatomy, storing and transmitting a plurality of image data to the processor, and withdrawing the device from the anatomy at an adjusted pace (col. 11 lines 5-18, col. 12 lines 37-55, col. 28 lines 55-67).

Regarding claims 5, 6, 14, 15, 28, 29, 39, and 40, Gillies et al. teach said medical device to be expandable and composed of polymer material (col. 25 lines 15-25, col. 28 lines 52-55).

Regarding claims 17, 18, 30, 31, 41, and 42, Gillies et al. teach superimposing an image of the medical device over the anatomy by replacing a plurality of pixels of an anatomy with a plurality of pixels of the medical device (col. 11 lines 5-13 lines 31-64).

Regarding claims 45-49, Gillies et al. teach a MRI system comprising a scanner, a processor, a control unit, and a display with the ability to detect low-level signals from a medical device with a plurality of target markers which is inserted into an anatomy, where the device is not depicted as noise for MRI systems, and the location and orientation of said device is determined prior to insertion into an anatomy (col. 6 lines 27-49, col. 8 lines 16-31, col. 11 lines 5-13, col. 14 lines 41-60, col. 27 lines 7-40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/008,456 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 2, 3, 11, 12, 25, 26, 36, and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gillies et al. in view of Young et al.

Regarding claims 2, 11, 25, and 36, Gillies et al. teach the use of a plurality of target markers as set forth above, but do not explicitly teach said markers to be one of ferromagnetic and paramagnetic material. In the same field of endeavor, Young et al. teach said medical device to be composed of paramagnetic material (col. 6 lines 34-65, col. 12 lines 37-67, col. 13 lines 1-20). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the marker material composition teaching by Gillies et al. to modify the teaching by Young et al. for the purpose of using a paramagnetic material to generate images with enhanced visibility of the medical device.

Regarding claims 3, 12, 26, and 37, Gillies et al. do not explicitly teach the magnetic field strength of the MRI system. It is well known in the art that diagnostic MRI system employ magnets with operating field strengths in the range of 0.02 T to 1.5 T. In the same field of endeavor, Young et al. teach the use of a MRI system operating at 1.5 Tesla (col. 14 lines 10-15). It would have therefore been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use the teaching by Young et al. to modify the teaching by Gillies et al. for the purpose of applying an appropriate magnetic field strength.

Conclusion

4. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Baisakhi Roy whose telephone number is 571-272-7139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m. - 4p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B. R.

BR

ALI IMAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Me